

REMARKS

The examiner rejected claims 1, 3-5, 7, 8-10 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. §101 as not falling within one of the our statutory classes. We have addressed this rejection by proposing to amend claim 1 to tie at least some of the steps to a particular machine, namely a processor system. We ask that the examiner enter those amendments.

The examiner indicated that claims 4-5 and 7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection under 35 U.S.C. §101 and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims and that claim 18 would be allowable if rewritten to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. We therefore propose moving the limitations of claim 4 into claim 1 and canceling claim 4 and moving the limitations of claim 18 into claim 13 and canceling claim 18. We ask the examiner to enter those amendments.

For at least the reasons stated above, we believe that the claims are in condition for allowance and therefore ask the Examiner to allow them to issue.

Please apply any charges not covered, or any credits, to Deposit Account No. 08-0219, under Order No. 0291359.00126US2 from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: September 2, 2009



Eric L. Prah
Registration No.: 32,590
Attorney for Applicant(s)

Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP
60 State Street
Boston, Massachusetts 02109
(617) 526-6000 (telephone)
(617) 526-5000 (facsimile)